

Chromatic number and complete graph substructures for degree sequences

Zdeněk Dvořák^{*†}

Department of Mathematics
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6
email: rakdver@kam.mff.cuni.cz

Bojan Mohar^{‡§}

Department of Mathematics
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6
email: mohar@sfu.ca

July 9, 2009

Abstract

Given a graphic degree sequence D , let $\chi(D)$ (respectively $\omega(D)$, $h(D)$, and $H(D)$) denote the maximum value of the chromatic number (respectively, the size of the largest clique, largest clique subdivision, and largest clique minor) taken over all simple graphs whose degree sequence is D . It is proved that $\chi(D) \leq h(D)$. Moreover, it is shown that a subdivision of a clique of order $\chi(D)$ exists where each edge is subdivided at most once and the set of all subdivided edges forms a collection of disjoint stars. This bound is an analogue of the Hajós Conjecture for degree sequences and, in particular, settles a conjecture of Neil Robertson that degree sequences satisfy the bound $\chi(D) \leq H(D)$ (which is related to the Hadwiger Conjecture). It is also proved that $\chi(D) \leq \frac{6}{5}\omega(D) + \frac{3}{5}$ and that $\chi(D) \leq \frac{4}{5}\omega(D) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(D) + 1$, where $\Delta(D)$ denotes the maximum degree in D . The latter inequality is a strengthened version of a conjecture of Bruce Reed. All derived inequalities are best possible.

Keywords: Degree sequence, maximum clique, clique minor, clique subdivision, Hajós Conjecture, Hadwiger Conjecture, Reed Conjecture.

^{*}Supported in part through a postdoctoral position at Simon Fraser University and by the grant GA201/09/0197 of Czech Science Foundation.

[†]On leave from: Institute of Theoretical Informatics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

[‡]Supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant (Canada), by the Canada Research Chair program, and by the Research Grant P1-0297 of ARRS (Slovenia).

[§]On leave from: IMFM & FMF, Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, without loops or parallel edges. A multiset of non-negative integers, usually written in the form of a non-increasing sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, is called a *graphic degree sequence* if there exists a simple graph G of order n whose vertex degrees are d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n . Given a graph G , we denote by $D(G)$ its degree sequence, and given a degree sequence D , we let

$$\mathcal{R}(D) = \{G \mid D(G) = D\}$$

denote the set of all *realizations* of D . By $\delta(D)$ and $\Delta(D)$ we denote the minimum and the maximum degree of D , respectively.

S. B. Rao introduced the following ordering for degree sequences: $D \preceq D'$ if there exist $G \in \mathcal{R}(D)$ and $G' \in \mathcal{R}(D')$ such that G is an induced subgraph of G' . Motivated by the progress made by Robertson and Seymour [9] on the well-quasi-ordering of graphs ordered by the graph minors relation, he proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (S. B. Rao, 1981). *The degree sequences are well-quasi-ordered with respect to the relation \preceq .*

Recently, Chudnovsky and Seymour [3] announced a proof of this conjecture.

Let us introduce the following notation. If D is a degree sequence, we let $\chi(D)$ (respectively $\omega(D)$, $h(D)$, and $H(D)$) denote the maximum value of the chromatic number (respectively, the size of the largest clique, largest clique subdivision, and largest clique minor) taken over all graphs in $\mathcal{R}(D)$. Let us observe that $\omega(D) \leq h(D) \leq H(D)$.

Motivated by Rao's conjecture, Neil Robertson proposed a conjecture on degree sequences that is a relaxation of the famous Hadwiger Conjecture claiming that every graph with chromatic number k contains a k -clique as a minor. Despite many attempts, the Hadwiger Conjecture remains open, thus its relaxations are of high interest.

Conjecture 1.2 (Robertson [8]). *For every graphic degree sequence D , we have $\chi(D) \leq H(D)$.*

In a recent work, Robertson and Song [10] proved a special case of Conjecture 1.2 when the degree sequence contains at most two distinct degree values.

In this paper we consider a stronger version of Conjecture 1.2 that is related to the Hajós Conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3. *For every graphic degree sequence D , we have $\chi(D) \leq h(D)$.*

This conjecture is particularly interesting, not only because it strengthens Conjecture 1.2, but also because the Hajós Conjecture for graphs fails (Catlin [2]; see also [11, 12]).

The main result of our work is a proof of Conjecture 1.3 (see Theorems 4.1 and 3.5). It is shown that this conjecture holds in a quite strong way. Namely, we prove that there is a graph $G \in \mathcal{R}(D)$ containing a subdivided complete graph of order $\chi(D)$ such that each edge is subdivided at most once and the set of all subdivided edges forms a collection of disjoint stars. This, in particular, settles Conjectures 1.2 and 1.3.

We also address a question how close to $\chi(D)$ is the maximum clique number $\omega(D)$. We prove (cf. Theorem 3.2) that

$$\chi(D) \leq \frac{6}{5}\omega(D) + \frac{3}{5} \quad (1)$$

holds for every degree sequence D . If $\chi(D) \leq \frac{1}{2}n(D)$, where $n(D)$ is the number of vertices for the degree sequence D , and if $\delta(D) \geq \chi(D) - 1$, then one can prove that $\omega(D) = \chi(D)$. However, the situation changes when $\chi(D) > \frac{1}{2}n(D)$. For example, any realization of the degree sequence $D = (5k - 3)^{5k}$ (i.e., $d_i = 5k - 3$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5k$) is a complement of a union of cycles, thus it has $\chi(D) = 3k$ (realized by a join of 5-cycles) and $\omega(D) = \lfloor \frac{5k}{2} \rfloor$ (realized by a complement of a $5k$ -cycle). If k is odd, then $\chi(D) = \frac{6}{5}\omega(D) + \frac{3}{5}$. This example shows that the inequality (1) is best possible.

Finally, we consider an analogue of Reed's Conjecture [7] (cf. Section 3) bounding the chromatic number by a convex combination of the clique number and the maximum degree. Our Theorem 3.4 shows that

$$\chi(D) \leq \frac{4}{5}\omega(D) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(D) + 1.$$

This bound is best possible in the sense that equality holds for infinitely many graphic sequences D and that for every $\alpha > \frac{4}{5}$ and every β , there exists a degree sequence D such that

$$\chi(D) > \alpha\omega(D) + (1 - \alpha)\Delta(D) + \beta.$$

2 Preliminary results

Let us recall the following folklore results about graphic degree sequences, cf., e.g. [1].

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a tree with degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ if and only if $d_n \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2n - 2$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ and $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_m$ be two sequences of positive integers such that $a_1 \leq m$, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i$ and $b_1 \leq b_m + 1$. Then there exists a bipartite graph G with parts u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m such that the degree of u_i is a_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the degree of v_i is b_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Let us prove a slightly stronger statement:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ and $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_m$ be two sequences of positive integers such that $n \leq m$, $a_1 \leq m$, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i$, and $b_1 \leq b_m + 1$. Let $A = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ be two sets of vertices. Then there exists a bipartite graph G with parts A and B such that G has a matching covering A , the degree of u_i is a_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the degree of v_i is b_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction. The lemma holds if $n = 1$, as in that case $m \geq a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \geq m$, thus $a_1 = m$ and $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_m = 1$, and we take $G = K_{1,m}$. Suppose now that $n \geq 2$ and that the claim is true for all sequences $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n'}$ and $b'_1, \dots, b'_{m'}$ such that $n' < n$. If $b_1 = 1$, then G is a union of stars, thus assume that $b_1 \geq 2$. This implies that $a_1 \geq 2$, as if $a_1 = 1$, then $m \geq n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \geq m$ and b_1 would be equal to 1. On the other hand, $mn \geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \geq m(b_1 - 1) + 1$, thus $b_1 \leq n$.

Consider the sequences $a_2 - 1, a_3 - 1, \dots, a_{b_1} - 1, a_{b_1+1}, \dots, a_n$ and $b_2 - 1, b_3 - 1, \dots, b_{a_1} - 1, b_{a_1+1}, \dots, b_n$. Let $a'_1 \geq a'_2 \geq \dots \geq a'_{n'}$ and $b'_1 \geq b'_2 \geq \dots \geq b'_{m'}$ be the positive elements of these two sequences. If the first sequence is empty, then $a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n = 1$ and $n = b_1$, and hence $m + b_1 - 1 \geq a_1 + b_1 - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \geq b_1 + m - 1$. Therefore equalities hold, and this is only possible when $a_1 = m$ and $b_2 = b_3 = \dots = b_m = 1$. It follows that $n = b_1 = 2$ and $a_2 = 1$. In this case G is $K_{1,m}$ with one edge subdivided, which has a matching covering A as $m \geq n = 2$.

Therefore, we may assume that $n' \geq 1$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{n'} a'_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m'} b'_i$, which implies that $m' \geq 1$. Also, observe that $b'_1 \leq b'_{m'} + 1$. Suppose first that $m' < m - 1$, i.e., $b_{a_1} = 1$, and thus $b_1 = 2$. If $a_2 > 1$, then $n' = n - 1$, and let G be the graph obtained from the union of stars $K_{1,a'_1}, K_{1,a'_2}, \dots, K_{1,a'_{n'}}$ by adding the vertices of u_1 and v_1 of degrees a_1 and b_1 , respectively (with $u_1 v_1$ in the matching), joined to the appropriate vertices of the stars. If $a_2 = 1$, then G is a union of a matching and the star K_{1,a_1} with some (but not all) edges subdivided. Therefore, we may assume from now on that $m' = m - 1$.

Suppose now that $n' < n - 1$, i.e., $a_{b_1} = 1$. In that case,

$$\begin{aligned} (b_1 - 2)m - b_1 + n + a_{b_1-1} + 1 &\geq (b_1 - 2)a_1 + a_{b_1-1} + n - b_1 + 1 \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \geq (b_1 - 1)m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a_{b_1-1} \geq m - n + b_1 \geq 2$, hence $n' = n - 2$. The sequences $a_1 - 1, a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{n'}$ and b_2, b_3, \dots, b_m satisfy the assumptions of the lemma; let G' be the graph corresponding to them. We let G be the graph obtained from G' by adding the vertices u_{b_1} and v_1 and joining v_1 with u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{b_1} . The edge $u_{b_1}v_1$ is added to the matching covering A .

Finally, consider the case when $n' = n - 1$ and $m' = m - 1$. Note that $n' \leq m'$. If $b_1 = n$, then $a'_1 = a_2 - 1 \leq a_1 - 1 \leq m'$. On the other hand, if $b_1 < n$, then $(b_1 + 1)a_{b_1+1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \leq mb_1$, and thus $a_{b_1+1} \leq m - 1$ and again, $a'_1 \leq m'$. Therefore, the sequences $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n'}$ and $b'_1, \dots, b'_{m'}$ satisfy the assumptions of the lemma; let G' be the graph corresponding to them. We let G be the graph obtained from G' by adding the vertices u_1 and v_1 and joining u_1 with v_1, \dots, v_{a_1} and v_1 with u_2, \dots, u_{b_1} . The edge u_1v_1 is added to the matching covering A . \square

We shall also need the following simple observation:

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a graph G with $n \geq 1$ vertices, e edges and $1 \leq \delta(G) \leq \Delta(G) \leq 2$ if and only if $e = n \geq 3$ or $e + 1 \leq n \leq 2e$ and $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, if such a graph exists, then it is either a cycle, or a union of a (possibly empty) matching and a path of length at least one. The former is possible if and only if $e = n \geq 3$. The latter is possible if and only if $e + 1 \leq n \leq 2e$ and $n \geq 2$. \square

Rao [6] proved the following:

Theorem 2.5. *A sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ of nonnegative integers is a degree sequence of a graph G with $\omega(G) \geq k$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even, $d_k \geq k - 1$, and for $0 \leq s \leq k$ and $0 \leq t \leq n - k$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^s d_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+t} d_i - 2 \binom{s+t}{2} \\ \leq \sum_{i=s+1}^k \min(s+t, d_i + s - k + 1) + \sum_{i=k+t+1}^n \min(s+t, d_i). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if these conditions are satisfied, then we can choose G so that the vertices of the clique of size k have degrees d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k .

Let us recall the characterization of graphic degree sequences by Erdős and Gallai [4] in the following form:

Theorem 2.6. *A sequence d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n of non-negative integers is graphic if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is even and for every $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$,*

$$\sum_{i \in I} d_i \leq |I|(|I| - 1) + \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} \min(d_i, |I|). \quad (3)$$

If $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, then property (3) can be checked only for subsets of the form $I = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Yin and Li [13, Theorem 1.8] showed the following:

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that a graphic sequence $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ satisfies the following conditions: $d_k \geq k - 1$, $n \geq 2k$, and $d_{2k} \geq k - 2$. Then it satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.5.*

We will need the following variation:

Lemma 2.8. *A graphic sequence $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_{2k-1}$ of length $2k - 1$ with $d_{2k-1} \geq k - 1$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i - d_k) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k-1} (d_k - d_i) \geq 2k - 2 - d_k. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Consider the condition (2) of Theorem 2.5 with $s = 0$ and $t = k - 1$:

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{2k-1} d_i - 2 \binom{k-1}{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min(k-1, d_i - k + 1). \quad (5)$$

As $d_i \leq 2k - 2$, we have $\min(k-1, d_i - k + 1) = d_i - k + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Subtracting kd_k from both sides of (5), we get

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{2k-1} (d_i - d_k) - d_k - (k-1)(k-2) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i - d_k) - k(k-1),$$

which implies (4).

The above derivation actually shows that (4) and (5) are equivalent. Therefore, we need to prove that (4) (or (5)) implies the condition (2) of

Theorem 2.5 for the choices of s and t such that $0 \leq s \leq k$, $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ and either $s \neq 0$ or $t \neq k-1$.

If $d_k \geq k+t-1$ and $s < k$, then $\min(s+t, d_i + s - k + 1) = s+t = \min(s+t, d_i)$ for $s+1 \leq i \leq k$. Since the sequence d_1, \dots, d_n is graphic, (2) follows from (3) with $I = \{1, 2, \dots, s, k+1, k+2, \dots, k+t\}$. The same argument works if $s = k$ (independently of the value of d_k), since the first sum on the right hand side of (2) vanishes. Therefore, we may henceforth assume that $d_k \leq k+t-2$ and $s \leq k-1$.

As $d_1 \leq 2k-2$, $d_{2k-1} \geq k-1$, $d_i \geq d_k$ for $i \leq k$ and $d_i \leq d_k$ for $i \geq k$, it suffices to show that

$$s(2k-2) + td_k - 2 \binom{s+t}{2} \leq (k-s) \min(s+t, d_k + s - k + 1) + (k-1-t) \min(s+t, k-1). \quad (6)$$

As $d_k + s - k + 1 \leq s+t$, the inequality (6) is equivalent to

$$(k+s)(k-1) + (s+t-k)d_k \leq (s+t)(s+t-1) + s(k-s) + (k-t-1) \min(s+t, k-1). \quad (7)$$

Let us first assume that $s+t \geq k$. Since $d_k \leq k+t-2$, it suffices to prove that

$$(k+s)(k-1) + (s+t-k)(k+t-2) \leq (s+t)(s+t-1) + s(k-s) + (k-t-1)(k-1),$$

which is equivalent to $(k-t-2)(k-s-2) + k-3 \geq 0$. If $t = k-1$, then $(k-t-2)(k-s-2) + k-3 = s-1 \geq 0$ (as $s+t \geq k$). Similarly, if $s = k-1$, then $(k-t-2)(k-s-2) + k-3 = t-1 \geq 0$. If $s \leq k-2$ and $t \leq k-2$, then $k \leq s+t \leq 2k-4$, hence $k \geq 4$ and $(k-t-2)(k-s-2) + k-3 \geq 1$, thus the condition is satisfied.

Let us now consider the remaining case when $s+t \leq k-1$. As $\min(s+t, k-1) = s+t$, $d_k \geq k-1$, and the coefficient of d_k on the left hand side of (7) is negative, it suffices to prove that

$$(k+s)(k-1) \leq (k-s-t)(k-1) + (s+t)(s+t-1) + s(k-s) + (k-t-1)(s+t).$$

This is equivalent to $t \leq st$. If $s > 0$, then this condition is satisfied. So, it remains to consider the case when $s = 0$. The condition (2) of Theorem 2.5 then becomes

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+t} d_i \leq t(k-2) + \sum_{i=1}^k \min(d_i - k + 1, t),$$

which is equivalent to

$$t + \sum_{i=1}^t (d_{k+i} - k + 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min(d_i - k + 1, t). \quad (8)$$

Note that $d_{k+i} - k + 1 \leq \min(d_{i+1} - k + 1, t)$ since $d_{k+i} - k + 1 \leq d_k - k + 1 \leq t - 1$ and $d_{k+i} \leq d_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore, (8) holds if $d_1 \geq k + t - 1$. Suppose that $d_1 \leq k + t - 2$, i.e., $t \geq d_1 - k + 2$. Then $\min(d_i - k + 1, t) = d_i - k + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, for $t \geq d_1 - k + 2$, the right-hand side of (8) is independent of t , and the left-hand side is non-decreasing in t , and hence the condition is satisfied for all t if and only if it is satisfied for $t = k - 1$, which is precisely our original assumption (5). \square

3 Chromatic number and cliques

For graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k , let $G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_k$ be their *join*, i.e., the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k by adding all edges between $V(G_i)$ and $V(G_j)$ for all i, j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. A graph G is *hypo-matchable* if for each $v \in V(G)$, $G - v$ has a perfect matching. A graph G is χ -critical if for each $v \in V(G)$, $\chi(G - v) < \chi(G)$.

A graph G is *basic* if

- $\chi(G) \leq \omega(D(G))$, or
- G is χ -critical, the number n of vertices of G is odd, $n = 2m + 1$, $\chi(G) = m + 1$, $\omega(D(G)) = m$ and the complement of G is hypo-matchable.

We say that G is *nontrivial* if $\chi(G) > \omega(D(G))$. Note that Lemma 2.8 describes the degree sequences of nontrivial basic graphs, that is, the degree sequences of nontrivial basic graphs do not satisfy the condition (4). The following lemma shows that when considering the behavior of $\chi(G)$ and $\omega(D(G))$, then we only care about the basic graphs.

Lemma 3.1. *Any graph G has an induced subgraph G' such that $\chi(G) = \chi(G')$ and G' is a join of basic graphs.*

Proof. For a contradiction, assume that G is a smallest counterexample. Let n be the number of vertices of G . As G is not basic, $\chi(G) \geq \omega(D(G)) + 1$. As G is a smallest counterexample, G is χ -critical, and thus $\delta(G) \geq \chi(G) - 1 \geq \omega(D(G))$. By Theorem 2.7, this implies that $n \leq 2\omega(D(G)) + 1$. Also, G is not a join of two graphs, i.e., the complement of G is connected.

Consider a coloring φ of G by $\chi(G)$ colors, such that the set of vertices B that belong to color classes of size at least three is as small as possible. Let $k = |V(G - B)|$ and let c be the number of color classes of φ restricted to $G - B$. As $2\chi(G) \geq 2\omega(D(G)) + 2 > n$, $2c > k$. Let M be the set of color classes of φ of size two. Note that M is a maximum matching in the complementary graph $\overline{G - B}$ and that $|M| = k - c$. Conversely, any matching in $\overline{G - B}$ of size $k - c$ corresponds to a coloring of G by $\chi(G)$ colors. Also, any vertex v of $G - B$ that is not incident with M is adjacent to all vertices of B , as otherwise if v is not adjacent to a vertex $u \in B$, then we can set the color of u to $\varphi(v)$, thus decreasing the size of B .

By the Edmonds-Gallai theorem on maximum matchings in graphs, there exists $T \subseteq V(G - B)$ and a matching M' in $\overline{G - B}$ such that each component of $\overline{G - B} - T$ is hypo-matchable, each edge of M' is incident with exactly one vertex of T , and no component of $\overline{G - B} - T$ is incident with more than one edge of M' . Moreover, each vertex in T is incident with an edge in M' . Let C be the set of components of $\overline{G - B} - T$. Let $t = |T|$ and let $h = |C| - t$ be the number of components of $\overline{G - B} - T$ that are not incident with an edge of M' . Note that $h = 2c - k > 0$. Consider the bipartite graph H with parts T and C , such that a vertex $u \in T$ and a component $K \in C$ are adjacent in H if and only if there exists a vertex $v \in K$ such that u and v are non-adjacent in G . Let $C' \subseteq C$ be the set of components that are covered by every matching in H of size t . By Hall's theorem, there exists a set $T' \subseteq T$ such that $|T'| = |C'|$ and the vertices of T' are adjacent in G to all vertices of the components in $C \setminus C'$. Consider now a component $K \in C \setminus C'$ and a vertex $v \in K$. There exists a matching in H of size t that does not cover K , and this matching can be extended to a matching M_1 in $\overline{G - B} - T$ of size $k - c$ that does not cover v , as K is hypo-matchable. It follows that v is adjacent in G to all vertices of B . Since the choice of K and v was arbitrary, it follows that all vertices of the components of $C \setminus C'$ are adjacent to all vertices of B . Furthermore, note that for any component $K \in C \setminus C'$, $\chi(G[V(K)]) = (|V(K)| + 1)/2$. Also, $|C \setminus C'| \geq h > 0$.

Let G_0 be the subgraph of G induced by $\bigcup_{K \in C'} V(K) \cup B \cup T'$, and let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_a be the subgraphs of G induced by the vertex sets of the elements of $C \setminus C'$. Let G' be the join of G_0, G_1, \dots, G_a . Observe that $\chi(G') = \chi(G)$ and that G' is an induced subgraph of G . As G is χ -critical, $G' = G$, and hence $T = T'$. However, the complement of G is connected and $C \setminus C' \neq \emptyset$, thus G_0 must be an empty graph, i.e., $B = T = \emptyset$, and $a = |C| = 1$. It follows that $G = G_1$ is a nontrivial basic graph. \square

As we have observed in the introduction, the degree sequence $D = (5k -$

$3)^{5k}$ has $\chi(D) = 3k$ (realized by the join of 5-cycles) and $\omega(D) = \lfloor \frac{5k}{2} \rfloor$. Thus, $\chi(D) = \frac{6}{5}\omega(D) + \frac{3}{5}$ if k is odd (and $\chi(D) = \frac{6}{5}\omega(D)$ if k is even). Our next result shows that this example is the worst possible when comparing $\chi(D)$ and $\omega(D)$.

Theorem 3.2. *For every graph G , $\chi(G) \leq \frac{6}{5}\omega(D(G)) + \frac{3}{5}$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that G is a smallest counterexample, and let n be the number of vertices of G . By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that G is a join of basic graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k . Also,

$$\chi(G) = \chi(G_1) + \chi(G_2 + \dots + G_k) \leq \chi(G_1) + \frac{6}{5}\omega(D(G_2 + \dots + G_k)) + \frac{3}{5}$$

and

$$\omega(D(G)) \geq \omega(D(G_1)) + \omega(D(G_2 + \dots + G_k)).$$

Thus $\chi(G_1) > \frac{6}{5}\omega(D(G_1))$, and by symmetry, $\chi(G_i) > \frac{6}{5}\omega(D(G_i))$ and hence G_i is nontrivial for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $n_i = 2m_i + 1$ be the number of vertices of G_i . As G_i is basic, $\chi(G_i) = m_i + 1$ and $\omega(D(G_i)) = m_i$. Note that the smallest nontrivial basic graph C_5 has $n_i = 5$, thus $\chi(G) = \frac{n+k}{2} \leq \frac{3n}{5}$. On the other hand, $\delta(G) = \min\{n - n_i + \delta(G_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \geq \min\{n - m_i - 1 : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and hence by Theorem 2.7, $\omega(D(G)) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, and $\chi(G) \leq \frac{3n}{5} = \frac{6(n-1)/2+3}{5} \leq \frac{6}{5}\omega(D(G)) + \frac{3}{5}$. \square

The chromatic number of any graph G satisfies the following trivial bounds:

$$\omega(G) \leq \chi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Reed investigated general bounds on the chromatic number that can be expressed as a convex combination of $\Delta(G)$ and $\omega(G)$. He proposed the following

Conjecture 3.3 (Reed [7]). $\chi(G) \leq \lceil \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + \Delta(G) + 1) \rceil$ for every graph G .

For degree sequences, we prove the following stronger bound of the same form:

Theorem 3.4. *For every graph G , $\chi(G) \leq \frac{4}{5}\omega(D(G)) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(G) + 1$.*

Proof. By considering a smallest counterexample, we see that G is χ -critical. By Lemma 3.1 we conclude that G is a join of basic graphs G_1, \dots, G_k . Suppose first that $k = 1$, i.e., G is basic. As G is χ -critical, $\Delta(G) \geq$

$\delta(G) \geq \chi(G) - 1$. As G is basic, $\omega(D(G)) \geq \chi(G) - 1$. We conclude that $\frac{4}{5}\omega(D(G)) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(G) + 1 \geq \chi(G)$.

Suppose now that $k > 1$. Let us first consider the case when one of the graphs G_i in the join, say G_1 , is trivial, i.e., $\chi(G_1) \leq \omega(D(G_1))$. Observe that $\Delta(G_1) \geq \omega(D(G_1)) - 1$, thus $\frac{4}{5}\omega(D(G_1)) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(G_1) \geq \chi(G_1) - \frac{1}{5}$. Note that $\Delta(G) \geq \Delta(G_1) + |V(G_2 + \dots + G_k)| \geq \Delta(G_1) + \Delta(G_2 + \dots + G_k) + 1$. It follows that $\chi(G) = \chi(G_1) + \chi(G_2 + \dots + G_k) \leq (\frac{4}{5}\omega(D(G_1)) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(G_1) + \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{4}{5}\omega(D(G - G_1)) + \frac{1}{5}(\Delta(G) - \Delta(G_1) - 1) + 1) \leq \frac{4}{5}\omega(D(G)) + \frac{1}{5}\Delta(G) + 1$. This is in a contradiction with G being a counterexample. It follows that each G_i is a nontrivial basic graph.

Let $|V(G_i)| = 2m_i + 1$, let $m = \min\{m_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, and observe that $m \geq 2$. We may assume that $m = m_1$. Clearly, $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq \Delta(G_1) + n - (2m + 1) \geq n - m - 1$, where $n = |V(G)|$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we conclude that $\omega = \omega(D(G)) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

From the facts that $(m - 2)(k - 1) \geq 0$ and $n \geq (2m + 1)k$, it follows that $2m + 5k - n + 6 \leq 10$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(G) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(G_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + 1) = \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}k \\ &= \frac{4}{5}(\frac{n-1}{2}) + \frac{1}{5}(\frac{n-1}{2}) + \frac{k+1}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{5}\omega + \frac{1}{5}(n - m - 1) + \frac{1}{10}(2m + 5k - n + 6) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{5}\omega + \frac{1}{5}\Delta + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is in a contradiction with G being a counterexample, and the proof is complete. \square

Let us observe that the bound of Theorem 3.4 is tight for the degree sequences $D = (5k - 3)^{5k}$ ($k \geq 1$). The same examples also show that the bound is best possible in the very strong sense as stated in the introduction.

The proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 can be combined to obtain the following stronger result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let D be a graphic degree sequence. There exists a realization $G \in \mathcal{R}(D)$ with the following properties:*

- (a) $\omega(G) \geq \frac{5}{6}\chi(D) - \frac{1}{2}$, and
- (b) $\omega(G) \geq \frac{5}{4}\chi(D) - \frac{1}{4}\Delta(D) - \frac{5}{4}$.

4 The Hajós Conjecture for degree sequences

The Hajós variation, Conjecture 1.3, is true in a quite strong sense. Let $h_1(G) = r$ if r is the largest integer such that G contains a subgraph obtained from K_r by first selecting vertex-disjoint subgraphs S_1, \dots, S_a of K_r , where each S_i is isomorphic to a star K_{1,n_i} ($1 \leq i \leq a$), and then subdividing each edge of these stars exactly once.

Theorem 4.1. *For every graph G , $\chi(G) \leq h_1(D(G))$.*

Proof. Since $h_1(D(G)) \geq \omega(D(G))$ and $h_1(G_1 + G_2) \geq h_1(G_1) + h_1(G_2)$, Lemma 3.1 shows that we can assume that G is a nontrivial basic graph. Let $n = 2m+1$ be the number of vertices of G , and let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{2m+1}$ be the degree sequence of G . Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i - d_{m+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \sum_{i=m+2}^{2m+1} (d_{m+1} - d_i).$$

As $\omega(D(G)) < m+1$ and $\delta(G) \geq \chi(G) - 1 = m$, Lemma 2.8 implies that $\alpha + \beta < 2m - d_{m+1}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $a_i = d_i - (m-1)$. Let $R = \frac{1}{2}(2m - d_{m+1} + \alpha + \beta)$. Note that R is an integer and $0 \leq \beta < R \leq 2m - d_{m+1} - 1 \leq m-1$. Since $\beta < R$, it follows that $d_{2m-R} = d_{m+1}$. Let $t_i = d_{m+1} - d_{2m-R+i} + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq R$ and $t_{R+1} = d_{m+1} - d_{2m+1} + R - \beta$. An easy calculation shows that $\sum_{i=1}^{R+1} t_i = 2R$. All the numbers t_i are positive, thus by Lemma 2.1, there exists a tree T_1 with vertices $v_{2m-R+1}, v_{2m-R+2}, \dots, v_{2m+1}$ such that the degree of v_{2m-R+i} in T_1 is t_i for $i = 1, \dots, R+1$.

Our goal is to form a graph G' with the degree sequence $D(G)$ on vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2m+1}$ in the following way. We start with a graph H_1 consisting of a union of two cliques, one on the vertex set $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ and the other one on the vertices $B = \{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots, v_{2m+1}\}$. Next, we shall delete the edges of T_1 or a slight modification of T_1 . Finally, we shall add edges between A and B so that the degrees will be as requested. The modification of T_1 will be designed in such a way as to enable us to get the required subdivision of the $(m+1)$ -clique, whose vertices of degree m will be v_1, \dots, v_{m+1} , and the only subdivided edges will be some of the edges incident with v_{m+1} .

Let us first consider the case when $d_{m+1} \geq m + \alpha$. Then $m + R - \beta \leq 2m - R$. Let T_2 be a forest obtained from T_1 by choosing $R - \beta - 1$ neighbors of v_{2m+1} , removing the edges joining them to v_{2m+1} , and adding a matching between them and the vertices $v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \dots, v_{m+R-\beta}$. Let

$H_2 = H_1 - E(T_2)$. In order to get a graph G' whose degree sequence is $D(G)$, we have to add edges between A and B . This has to be done in such a way that each vertex $v_i \in A$ is incident with a_i added edges ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and each vertex $v_{m+i} \in B$ ($1 \leq i \leq m+1$) is incident with b_i edges, where b_i is as follows. For $i = 1$ and for $R - \beta + 1 \leq i \leq m - R$, we have $b_i = d_{m+1} - m$, and for $2 \leq i \leq R - \beta$ and for $m - R + 1 \leq i \leq m + 1$, we have $b_i = d_{m+1} - m + 1$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i - m + 1) = \alpha + m(d_{m+1} - m + 1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} b_i &= (d_{m+1} - m)(m + 1) + R - \beta - 1 + R + 1 \\ &= \alpha + m(d_{m+1} - m + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can apply Lemma 2.3. (This is obvious if $d_{m+1} > m$; if $d_{m+1} = m$, then $\alpha = 0$ by the assumption that $d_{m+1} \geq m + \alpha$; moreover, $\beta = 0$ since $d_i \geq m$ for every i ; thus $a_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $b_i = 1$ for $i = 2, \dots, m + 1$, so Lemma 2.3 can be applied trivially for the sequence b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{m+1} .) We conclude that there exists a bipartite graph H_3 with parts $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ and $B = \{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots, v_{2m+1}\}$ such that the degree of v_i is a_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and the degree of v_{m+i} is b_i for $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$, and H_3 has a matching M covering A .

Let $G' = H_2 \cup H_3$. Observe that $D(G') = D(G)$ and v_{m+1} is adjacent to all vertices in $B \setminus \{v_{m+1}\}$. Together with the matching M , this gives a possibility to join v_{m+1} with all vertices v_1, \dots, v_m by using disjoint paths of length two. This shows that G' contains a subdivision of K_{m+1} , whose vertices of degree m are v_1, \dots, v_{m+1} . Each edge is subdivided at most once and all the subdivided edges are incident with v_{m+1} . Thus $h_1(G') \geq m + 1$.

Suppose now that $d_{m+1} \leq m + \alpha - 1$. Note that $\alpha > 0$ in this case. Let S be a set consisting of v_{2m+1} , $R - \beta$ (arbitrarily chosen) neighbors of v_{2m+1} in T_1 and of vertices $v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \dots, v_{2m-R}$. Note that $|S| = m - \beta$, $R - \beta < |S|$, $2(R - \beta) = 2m - d_{m+1} + \alpha - \beta \geq m - \beta + 1 > |S|$, and $|S| \geq m - (2m - d_{m+1} - \alpha - 1) = d_{m+1} - m + \alpha + 1 \geq 2$. Thus by Lemma 2.4 there exists a graph T_3 with vertex set S and $R - \beta$ edges such that $1 \leq \delta(T_3) \leq \Delta(T_3) \leq 2$. Let $S_i \subseteq S$ be the set of vertices of T_3 of degree i , for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let T_4 be the graph obtained from T_1 by first removing the

$R - \beta$ edges of T_1 induced by S (i.e. those joining v_{2m+1} and the $R - \beta$ chosen neighbors), and then adding the $R - \beta$ edges of T_3 . Let $H_4 = H_1 - E(T_4)$. As in the previous case, we let b'_i denote the number of edges that we need to add between A and the vertex v_{m+1+i} ($i = 1, \dots, m$) in order to form a graph whose degree sequences is $D(G)$. More precisely, let $b'_i = d_{m+1} - m + 1$ for all i such that $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $v_{m+1+i} \notin S_2$, and $b'_i = d_{m+1} - m + 2$ for all i such that $v_{m+1+i} \in S_2$. Let $a'_i = a_i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_{m+1} - m$ and $a'_i = a_i$ for $d_{m+1} - m + 1 \leq i \leq m$. If $a_i = 1$ for some i , then we have $d_{m+1} = m$. This implies that $a'_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a bipartite graph H_5 with parts A and $B \setminus \{v_{m+1}\}$ containing a perfect matching M , such that the degree of v_i is a'_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and it is b'_{i-m-1} for $m+2 \leq i \leq 2m+1$.

Let G' be the graph obtained from $H_4 \cup H_5$ by adding edges between v_{m+1} and $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_{m+1}-m}$. Again, we see that $D(G') = D(G)$ and v_{m+1} is adjacent to all other vertices of B . Together with the matching M , this yields a subdivision of K_{m+1} , where each edge is subdivided at most once and all the subdivided edges are incident with v_{m+1} . We conclude that $h_1(G') \geq m + 1$. \square

References

- [1] C. Berge, Graphs and Hypergraphs, Second revised edition, North-Holland and Elsevier, 1976.
- [2] P. Catlin, Hajós' graph-coloring conjecture: variations and counterexamples, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 26 (1979) 268–274.
- [3] M. Chudnovsky, P. Seymour, The proof of Rao's conjecture on degree sequences, talk at the Banff workshop on Graph Minors, BIRS, Banff, Alberta, September 28 – October 3, 2008.
- [4] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, Graphen mit Punkten vorgeschriebenen Grades, Mat. Lapok. 11 (1960) 264–274.
- [5] A. R. Rao, The clique number of a graph with given degree sequence, in: A.R. Rao (Ed.), Proceedings of the Symposium on Graph Theory, MacMillan and Co. India Ltd., I.S.I. Lecture Notes Series, vol. 4, 1979, pp. 251–267.
- [6] A. R. Rao, An Erdős-Gallai type result on the clique number of a realization of a degree sequence, unpublished.

- [7] B. Reed, ω , Δ , and χ , J. Graph Theory 27 (1998) 177–212.
- [8] N. Robertson, On Rao’s Conjecture, a talk at the workshop Graph Theory, Oberwolfach, January 2005.
- [9] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour, Graph minors. XX. Wagner’s Conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 92 (2004) 325–357.
- [10] N. Robertson, Z. Song, Hadwiger number and chromatic number for near regular degree sequences, J. Graph Theory, in press.
- [11] C. Thomassen, Some remarks on Hajós’ conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 93 (2005) 95–105.
- [12] C. Thomassen, Hajós’ conjecture for line graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 97 (2007) 156–157.
- [13] J.-H. Yin, J.-S. Li, Two sufficient conditions for a graphic sequence to have a realization with prescribed clique size, Discrete Math. 301 (2005) 218–227.